



SOUTH EAST DEVON
HABITAT REGULATIONS
PARTNERSHIP

South East Devon Habitat Regulations Executive Committee

Risk Register Report

Neil Harris, Habitat Regulations Delivery Manager
July 2020

Legal comment/advice:

There is no direct legal comment to be made at this time, each and any individual issue will need to be considered as it arises.

Finance comment/advice:

The financial implications are set out in the report.

Public Document:	Yes
Exemption:	None
Review date for release	None

Recommendations.

It is proposed that the Executive Committee:

1. **Notes the identification, categorisation and prioritisation of risks as recorded in the accompanying Risk Register, associated with delivery of the South-east Devon European Site Mitigation Strategy.**
2. **Notes in particular the Severe risk posed by the Covid-19 pandemic.**
3. **Notes the control measures in place to mitigate the risks identified.**
4. **Receives an updated Risk Register report in 6 months.**

Equalities impact: Low

Risk: Medium/High.

As a society we continue to experience the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic and therefore it is too soon to be able to quantify the impacts (current & future) to the delivery of the Strategy. However, considering the gravity and scale of the pandemic it is considered appropriate to classify this as the highest risk (Severe) to the majority of the categories used. Additionally, there remain a number of risks which have the potential for high strategic and operational impact, if not carefully addressed. Continued partnership working, use of updated housing forecasts and keeping updated on changes in the operational environment will assist in mitigating these risks. Continued and effective delivery of the Strategy and the development this enables remains of very high importance to all partners.

1 Summary

1.1 As part of the project development of the South-east Devon European Site Mitigation Strategy (“the Strategy”), a detailed risk register (see Appendix A) is used to take account of the various categories of risk that exist or emerge in all elements of the Strategy. This was developed as part of a set risk management process.

1.2 It is important to note that the purpose of a risk register is to record the details of all risks that have been identified along with their analysis and plans for how those risks will be treated. It does not necessarily mean that the risks will be realised or are expected to occur (unless specifically noted).

1.3 The purpose of the report is to update members of SEDHREC on the status of the risk management register and the status of risk management across the partner authorities at this time.

1.4 The register also includes “inactive” risks which have either expired or are no longer considered a risk to the delivery of the Strategy.

2. Identifying Risks

2.1 Risk is categorised in relation to the aims and objectives of SEDHREC (i.e. delivering the Strategy). The main categories used in this register are:

-) Strategic;
-) Operational;
-) Financial;
-) People;
-) Regulatory;
-) Governance.

2.2 Strategic: This considers external risks which may affect the aims and objectives of SEDHREC - such as changes in the environment within which it operates.

2.3 Operational: This considers the risks which arise from the services delivered or the activities carried out.

2.4 Financial: This section considers any potential financial risks facing the organisation in terms of internal systems, planning, funding, etc.

2.5 People: These risks are associated with the employment of staff and the involvement of volunteers.

2.6 Regulatory: These risks consider the legislative framework within which SEDHREC operates.

2.7 Governance: This section identifies the risks which are part of the management of SEDHREC.

There may be a degree of overlap between some of these categories.

Classification

3.0 In addition to the identification of risks as outlined above in 2.0 – 2.6, risks to the successful implementation of the Strategy are also categorised. The probability of a risk occurring and the potential impact of that risk is assessed and recorded on a scoring matrix (see Appendix B). This assigns categories accordingly:

-) **Minor (1 to 4)**
-) **Moderate (5 to 8)**
-) **Major (9 to 12)**
-) **Severe (13 to 16)**

3.1 The matrix shown in Appendix B illustrates that the Covid-19 pandemic poses a Severe risk to the delivery of the Strategy.

3.2 There are 4 further risks classified as Major for their potential impact and probability of occurring. They are:

-) Proposed SANGS at Cranbrook do not meet essential criteria.
-) Habitat Regulations watered down / abolished following exit from European Union.
-) Delays to mitigation measures identified in annual business plans
-) HREC decisions not implemented at local level.

3.3 Mitigation of each of these identified risks are described within the risk register itself (see Appendix A). The register is as updated from April 2019 and will continue to be updated and reported to the Committee on a regular basis.

3.4 Should any further risks to delivery of the Strategy be assessed as Severe at any stage, this will be reported to the Officer Working Group and SEDHREC as and when necessary.

Neil Harris
Habitat Regulations Delivery Manager

South East Devon
Habitat Regulations
Executive Committee
July 2020

Natural England comment:

Natural England have reviewed the report and have nothing further to add.