EAST DEVON DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of the Meeting of the Council held at Knowle, Sidmouth, on Wednesday, 24 February 2016

Attendance list at the end of document.

The meeting started at 6.30pm and ended at 8.40pm

*58 Honorary Alderman Barry Willoughby

The Chairman reported with sadness that Honorary Alderman Barry Willoughby had passed away on Christmas Day. Honorary Alderman Willoughby had served on East Devon District Council as an elected Member for Exmouth Littleham Urban Ward from 1984 until his retirement from office in 2003. He had served on a number of Committees – including the Policy Committee, Housing, Exmouth Town, Tourism and Transportation and Environment and Planning – and had been elected Chairman of the Council in 1995 for 2 years. Honorary Alderman Barry Willoughby had been appointed alderman after his retirement as a councillor based on his eminent service to this Council.

The Chairman invited Members to stand in silence as a mark of their respect.

*59 **Public Speaking**

The Chairman welcomed those present and invited members of the public to speak to the Council.

One question had been received with notice under the terms of 'questions and statements by the public'. The Chairman asked the member of the public to read out her question to Council.

Question received with notice - Anna Roderick

You may remember that I attended the Council meeting in October to ask about EDDC's response to the Government's proposal to expand the Syrian Vulnerable Persons Scheme. I am aware that, since then, the Council has been working with other local authorities in Devon to co-ordinate a response and it is currently anticipated that approximately 25 families will be resettled across Devon under the scheme. Given the scale and urgency of the Syrian crisis it is disappointing that, as far as I'm aware, Devon has yet to actually welcome any refugees under the Syrian VPS. It is also disappointing that, given the degree of public interest and concern, the Council appears to have not communicated with the public (via its website or via social media) about progress on this issue. What reassurance can the Council give that it is committed to a proactive and urgent response to this crisis?

Councillor Andrew Moulding, Deputy Leader, responded to the question put by Anna Roderick. He advised that the District Council had made a positive response to support the Syrian Vulnerable Persons Scheme with partner authorities. To date Local Authorities in Devon had committed to support the resettlement of around 25 families within the Scheme. It was recognised that confidence and capacity to support the Scheme differed across the County and that the Council would need to match available accommodation with a wide array of support from a range of partners. It was acknowledged that progress might appear slow, however due to the complex issues, a coordinated and sustained effort was required for the benefit of refugees to enable them to be successfully resettled into local communities.

The Chairman advised that under the Council's terms in respect of questions submitted in advance, the questioner now had the right to ask a supplementary question relevant to the original question printed above.

Supplementary Question -

Anna Roderick welcomed that the Council wanted to play its part, appreciated that the issues were complex, and that a lot of hard work was going on behind the scenes. However, a significant number of other authorities in the country, who faced the same or similar issues, had already welcomed families under the Scheme. She urged the Council and Councillors to be proactive.

In response Councillor Andrew Moulding acknowledged the need to work closely with Devon County Council on the issues faced. He advised that the District Council was committed to supporting vulnerable people and would continue to play its part.

The Chairman thanked Anna Roderick for her question.

Eight other members of the public had indicated they wished to address the Council.

Jane Ashton, a resident of Exmouth, stated that she believed Exmouth seafront should be offering natural attractions. She referred to the series of questions and answers relating to Exmouth and the regeneration of the Queen's Drive site, and advised that the documentation on the website failed to identify who had interpreted the results of the Splash consultation. She asked for this to clarified and confirmation that whoever had undertaken the interpretation had been unbiased.

Graham Bell stated that he wished to hand over a petition from the residents of Exmouth. The petition called for a referendum on the development of Queen's Drive, which covered a significant area of Exmouth seafront and was therefore of considerable importance to the community. The petition was the result of many residents' concerns about the lack of, and credibility of, consultations undertaken relating to the redevelopment, the results of which were not considered to be representative of local community views. He advised that 1850 people had signed the petition and were united of the view that they wished to be fully consulted.

Ron Metcalfe referred to the series of questions and answers relating to Exmouth and the regeneration of the Queen's Drive site published on the Council's website, which stated that a cinema company had undertaken market research and identified a market for a cinema complex on Queen's Drive. He advised that he had two questions - the first related to whether or not full market research had been conducted, or just preliminary investigations. If it was the latter, he failed to see how it could be claimed that there was an identified need. If full market research had been undertaken, he requested that the details be made public. The second question related to the results of the seafront survey and why the views of residents about the need for another cinema had been ignored. Annie MacAllister asked why the series of questions and answers relating to Exmouth and the regeneration of the Queen's Drive site published on the Council's website failed to mention children's play space, particularly as young people would be using the area. She asked the Council to listen to young people.

The Chairman thanked the first four speakers for their contributions and invited the Deputy Leader to respond. The Deputy Leader's response included:

- Analysis of the consultation exercises had been undertaken by officers of the Council. He reassured that the interpretation of the results had been unbiased.
- > There would be further public consultation through the planning processes.
- Reference was made to the size of the petition received against the loss of Elizabeth Hall and the success and economic benefits that the Premier Inn, which had replaced the Hall, had brought to the town.
- The cinema company had suggested that a town the size of Exmouth could support two cinemas. A cinema would provide alternative entertainment on the seafront during times of bad weather and in the evenings.
- Young people were at the forefront of the plans for Queen's Drive. A successful consultation event had been held at the Community College.

The Chairman invited the remaining speakers who all wished to speak on the West Hill Boundary Review forward in turn.

Honorary Alderman David Cox spoke against the amended parish boundary proposed for the West Hill Parish Council. He advised that there had been strong support for the original boundary, which followed the existing Town Council ward boundary for West Hill, and no evidence to support the amended boundary. Guidance issued by the Boundary Commission stated that boundaries should follow firm landscape features, which the amended boundary did not. He asked that the Council agree to put forward the original established boundary for the second stage of the Community Governance Review.

Tony Howard advised that the West Hill Parish Council Campaign Group had written to the Chief Executive rejecting the amended parish boundary. If agreed the amended boundary would reduce the Parish by 50% and he urged the Council to approve the original boundary.

Glyn Dobson advised that West Hill had been an important part of the parish of Ottery St Mary since 1974. The Town Council had been against the proposals to form a separate Parish Council, however would support them if it was the wish of the residents. He advised that the consultation responses showed that many residents did not support the original boundary, and that the amended boundary should be taken forward for the second stage of the Review.

Ian Holmes read out a newspaper article published that day on the proposals for a separate Parish Council, which referred to the figures used by the support campaign as being misleading and undermining the debate.

In response the Deputy Leader advised that issues raised would be taken into consideration when Members considered the recommendations on the West Hill Boundary Review later in the meeting.

The Chairman thanked all the speakers for their contributions.

*60 Minutes

The minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting held on 16 December 2015 were confirmed and signed as a true record.

The minutes of the Extra Ordinary Council meeting held on 28 January 2016 were confirmed and signed as true record, subject to a correction to show the first speaker under Minute 53 (Public Speaking) referring to Membury Parish Council (not Musbury Parish Council) and removal of the reference to the speaker being clerk to the Parish Council.

*61 **Declarations of interest**

Councillor Roger Giles ; Minute No. 67 (Cabinet minute 178) Type of interest – Personal Reason – Ottery St Mary Town Councillor and owns a property in Ottery St Mary

Councillor Ian Thomas ; Minute No. 65 Type of interest – Personal Reason – Director, Exeter Science Park Board

Councillor Peter Faithfull ; Minute No. 67 (Cabinet minute 178) Type of interest – Personal Reason – Ottery St Mary Town Councillor and owns a property in Ottery St Mary

Councillor Paul Carter ; Minute No. 67 (Cabinet minute 178) Type of interest – Personal Reason – Ottery St Mary Town Councillor

*62 Matters of urgency

No matters of urgency had been identified.

*63 Chairman/Leader notices/announcements

There were none.

*64 **Long Service Awards**

The Chairman said that it was always a pleasure to present service awards to long-serving staff; it was an ideal opportunity to thank them for their valued contribution. The Chairman highlighted the achievements of the members of staff who were then awarded their certificates by the Vice Chairman.

John Lacey, Environmental Health	10 years service
Neil Brown, Streetscene	30 years service

*65 **Revenue Estimates, Capital Programme and Council Tax 2016/17**

Members considered the report of the Section 151 Finance Officer and the recommendations of the Cabinet from its meeting on 10 February 2016 relating to the Revenue and Capital Estimates – the purpose of the report was to enable the Council to calculate and set the Council Tax for 2016/17.

The precepts from Devon County Council, Police and Crime Commissioner for Devon and Cornwall, Devon & Somerset Fire & Rescue Authority, and town and parish councils (preceptors) had been added to EDDC's Council Tax requirement. This Council, as billing authority, would formally set the Council Tax for the area to include all the amounts to be collected.

In the absence of the Leader, the Chairman invited the Deputy Leader of the Council, Councillor Andrew Moulding to present the Revenue Estimates and Capital Programme together with proposals for the Council Tax for 2016/17.

In presenting the budget, the Deputy Leader referred to the following:

- The proposed Council Tax Band D amount of £126.78 was an increase of £5 a year (4.1%) which was the first increase for 5 years. EDDC currently had the lowest Council Tax in Devon and was amongst the lowest in the country.
- Despite a financially challenging climate, the council continued to provide excellent value-for- money services and projects. With further reductions to the grant the Council received from Central Government for 2016/17 and beyond, there was a need for the Council to be even more business-like, efficient and self-sufficient.
- Recognition that some decisions the Council took were unpopular but there was a need to consider the best of interests of East Devon as a whole.
- The Council's Local Plan had recently been found sound and the local economy was thriving in parts of the District, particularly the 'West End'. However, the Council needed to ensure that other towns prospered too, providing jobs and day to day services as well as new homes.
- > The Council needed to provide conditions for developing economic growth.
- The Council would continue to work with other local authorities to find more efficient ways of improving services provided to residents.
- As part of the health and well-being agenda the Council was making a positive difference to people's lives with facilities such as the Axe Valley Wetlands, Thelma Hulbert Gallery and support to LED in providing sport and leisure facilities.

In conclusion, the Deputy Leader thanked Officers, particularly the Strategic Lead – Finance and his team, and Councillors for their co-operation and input into the preparation of this budget

He then proposed that the Council Tax requirement for 2016/17 be set at \pounds 7,150,899 with a resulting Council Tax Band D of \pounds 126.78 and that the budget be agreed as presented in the Council papers, and moved the printed recommendations set out in Appendix A to the report.

The proposal was seconded by Councillor Ian Thomas, Portfolio Holder – Finance who supported the budget as presented and referred to the Council's achievements over the last 12 months, which included:

- Strong employment growth at the West End of the District with the Council's third strategic employment site Skypark nearing the completion of its infrastructure;
- As a shareholder of the Exeter Science Park Company, securing more than £10m of Growth Deal and Regional Growth Fund money to invest in the Science Park centre;
- Delivering the highest ever number of new affordable local homes for local people in one year totalling 388;
- Investing in a Jurassic Coast discovery centre which would open the following month;
- Winning national planning and housing awards for the Council's new town of Cranbrook,
- Working with local communities to deliver a significant number of recreational and sports facilities across the District using Section 106 funding;
- > Achieving a Gold Investors in People award.

Other points raised during discussion included:

- The need for more innovative ways of cutting waste and using funds more efficiently;
- Concern that the Thelma Hulbert Gallery was still being subsidised and the need for the Council to look at improving how it used its assets;
- Support for the Council Tax increase;
- Thelma Hulbert Gallery provided a valuable service to many vulnerable groups in the district and contributed to the health and well-being agenda.
- Support for investment in small business units which would contribute to the local economy.

The proposal as printed in Appendix A to the report was put to the vote and carried.

Members were reminded that in line with legislation that came into force on 25 February 2014, The Local Authorities (Standing Orders) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2014, a recorded vote would now be taken on the budget decision.

RESOLVED:

- 1. that it be noted that on 6 January 2016 the Cabinet (minute reference 159 refers) calculated the Council Tax Base 2016/17
- a) for the whole Council area as 56,404 [Item T in the formula in Section 31B of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, as amended (the "Act")]; and
- b) for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a Parish precept relates as detailed in Schedule 1 attached.
- 2. that as a preliminary step, calculate that the Council Tax requirement for the Council's own purposes for 2016/17 (excluding Parish precepts) is £7,150,899

- 3. that the following amounts be calculated for the year 2016/17 in accordance with Sections 30 to 36 of the Act
 - (a) £94,910,412 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(2) of the Act taking into account all precepts issued to it by Parish Councils.
 - (b) £85,020,108 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 31A(3) of the Act.
 - (c) £9,890,304 being the amount by which the aggregate at 3(a) above exceeds the aggregate at 3(b) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31A(4) of the Act as its Council Tax requirement for the year. (Item R in the formula in Section 31B of the Act).
 - (d) £175.35 being the amount at 3(c) above (Item R), all divided by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 31B of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year (including Parish precepts).
 - (e) £2,739,405 being the aggregate amount of all special items (Parish precepts) referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (as per the attached Schedule 1).
 - (f) £126.78 being the amount at 3(d) above less the result given by dividing the amount at 3(e) above by Item T (1(a) above), calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its Council Tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no Parish precept relates.
 - (g) The amounts stated in column 5 of the schedule 1 attached given by adding to the amount at 3(f) above the amounts of the special item or items relating to dwellings in those parts of the Council's area specified in column 1 of Schedule 1 divided in each case by the amount at 1(a) above, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, as the basic amounts of its Council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area set out in column 1 of Schedule 1 to which one or more special items relate.
 - (h) The amounts set out in Schedule 2 attached given by multiplying the amounts at 3(g) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in Section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands.

4. that it be noted that for the year 2016/2017 Devon County Council, Police and Crime Commissioner for Devon and Cornwall and Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Authority have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the District Council, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Valuation	Devon	Police and	Devon & Somerset
Bands	County	Crime	Fire & Rescue
	Council	Commissioner	
		for Devon &	
		Cornwall	
А	£805.08	£115.23	£53.32
В	£939.26	£134.43	£62.21
С	£1,073.44	£153.64	£71.09
<u>D</u>	£1,207.62	£172.84	£79.98
E	£1,475.98	£211.25	£97.75
F	£1,744.34	£249.66	£115.53
G	£2,012.70	£288.07	£133.30
Н	£2,415.24	£345.68	£159.96

Precepting Authority

5. that, having calculated the aggregate in each case of the amounts at 3 (h) and 4 above, the Council, in accordance with Sections 30 and 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the amounts set out in Schedule 3 attached as the amounts of council tax for the year 2016/2017 for each of the categories of dwellings shown in Schedule 3.

6. that the Council has determined that its relevant basic amount of Council Tax for 2016/17 is **not** excessive in accordance with principles approved under Section 52ZB Local Government Finance Act 1992. As the billing authority, the Council has **not** been notified by a major precepting authority that its relevant basic amount of Council Tax for 2016/17 is excessive and therefore the billing authority is not required to hold a referendum in accordance with Section 52ZK Local Government Finance Act 1992.

Recorded vote on the budget:

All Councillors present voted in favour of the budget (47)

*66 **Questions (Procedure Rules 9.2 and 9.5)**

Two questions had been submitted in accordance with Procedure Rule 9.2 - the printed <u>questions and answers</u> were circulated at the meeting. Councillors submitting questions are entitled to put a related supplementary question (Procedure Rule 9.5). The responses to the supplementary questions are set out below.

a) Question 1 – In response to the supplementary question the Portfolio Holder – Environment reported that the beach huts were vastly undervalued and that the rental figures that had been put forward were realistic. b) Question 2 – No supplementary question was asked.

*67 Minutes of Cabinet and Committees

RESOLVED

1. that the under-mentioned minutes be received and the recommendations approved

Cabinet

Minutes 142-163, 164-188 **Development Management Committee** Minutes 52-56, 57-62 **Audit and Governance Committee** Minutes 33-39 **Standards Committee** Minutes 9-17 **Licensing and Enforcement Sub Committee** Minutes 25-28, 29-30

that the under-mentioned minutes be received.

Cabinet (minutes 154, 155, 172, 173) had noted or accepted the following Overview/Scrutiny Committees' recommendations with or without amendment.

Overview Committee Minutes 24-29 Scrutiny Committee Minutes 41-44 Overview and Scrutiny Committees – joint meetings Minutes 15-20, 21-26, 27-32 Housing Review Board Minutes 49-63

Arising from consideration of the above minutes:-

a) Cabinet (minute 178 – West Hill Boundary Review)

Speaker comments on the proposed boundary (recommendation 2 – Appendix D) included:

- Proposed amended boundary would result in a parish half the size of the established Town Council ward boundary for West Hill;
- > No strong evidence to support amended boundary;
- Residents should be consulted on the established boundary;
- > Aspirations and views of the community should not be ignored;
- The boundary had been amended following the first round of consultation as some residents and landowners had indicated that they would not want to be included within the proposed West Hill Parish Council boundary;
- Clear support for a Parish Council being established;
- No decision was being made in respect of the boundary there would be an opportunity to reconsider after the second stage of the review process.

The Chief Executive referred Councillors to the plan that had been circulated at the meeting, which identified the existing ward boundary and the proposed amended boundary. He explained that the areas excluded were those that did not suggest a strong community identity to the rest of West Hill. It was recognised that there was further discussion to be had and that the boundary had been redrawn to focus the minds of residents – responses would be assessed, and based on these, a recommended boundary would be put forward.

The Deputy Leader advised that having taken into account comments raised at the meeting by both speakers and councillors he proposed an amendment to the second recommendation, which was seconded by Councillor Eileen Wragg. The amendment was put to the vote and carried.

RESOLVED:

- 1. that having regard to the relevant statutory criteria and the results of the first phase of consultation, a new Parish Council be established for the area known as 'West Hill' and that the proposal be formally published with a 3 month period for representations.
- 2. that the boundary as recommended be consulted on but that all representations received during the 3 month period be considered before a boundary for the new Parish Council is finally determined.
- 3. that the new Parish Council be known as 'West Hill Parish Council' with a membership of 7 councillors and no warding provisions be incorporated.

b) Cabinet (minute 181 – East Devon Broadband)

Cllrs Cathy Gardner and Val Ranger referred to wording used within the risk log which had been circulated to Councillors regarding the bid to Broadband Delivery UK (BDUK). In response the Portfolio Holder – Corporate Service acknowledged that the wording included in the submission had been inappropriate and apologised to the Council.

c) Cabinet (minute 179 – ESCo Energy Services)

Concerns were raised that the Cabinet had not agreed to become a shareholder of ESCo until there had been further dialogue and more information was available regarding the legal and financial governance arrangements - the recommendation did not reflect this.

RESOLVED: that the Council is not currently persuaded to become a shareholder of the public sector Energy Services Company (ESCo) and would request further information in order to make a final decision.

d) Overview Committee (minute 28 – South West Trains franchise consultation)

Councillor Roger Giles urged Councillors to press the case for better rail services and respond to the South West Trains franchise consultation. Councillor Phil Twiss clarified that issues relating to rail infrastructure should be brought to the attention of Network Rail.

e) Joint Overview and Scrutiny Committees (minute 26 – Draft Revenue and Capital Budgets 2016/17)

Councillor Megan Armstrong referred to the reporting of performance management indicators for the Growth Point service plan and advised that her recollection had been that it was agreed that these would be reported annually rather than 'as required', which was vague. The Deputy Chief Executive responded that as the work of the Growth Point was project based there should be regular reporting but not specifically to a timetable.

The Chief Executive referred Councillors to recommendation 4 and advised that he would clarify whether the timeframe for reporting was included in the minor amendment.

f) Licensing and Enforcement Sub Committee

The Chairman, Councillor Steve Hall, took the opportunity to report that the Licensing and Enforcement Committee had the previous week approved a comprehensive draft Taxi Licensing Policy for consultation.

*69 Motion: financial settlements for local authorities

The following motion was proposed by Councillor Roger Giles, seconded by Councillor Susie Bond and supported by Councillors Marianne Rixson, Val Ranger, Cathy Gardner and Peter Faithfull.

"This Council is concerned that successive governments have made financial settlements for local authorities in Devon which are disadvantageous compared with the settlements for councils elsewhere. The Council therefore agrees to seek the support of the three Members of Parliament representing East Devon in pressing government to ensure that East Devon District Council receives a fair settlement which reflects the needs of East Devon for the 2016/17 and successive financial years."

In proposing, Councillor Roger Giles paid tribute to the local MPs for securing an increased Rural Services Delivery Grant for East Devon for 2016/17, however it was not considered to be enough to reflect the needs of the District. He urged the Council to press for fairer funding. In seconding, Councillor Susie Bond hoped that the Council would join together to support the motion for the benefit of the District.

Councillor Ian Thomas proposed an amendment to the motion, which was seconded by Councillor Roger Giles.

In proposing his amendment, Councillor Thomas spoke of the efforts both Members and Officers of the Council had already devoted to highlighting the issues specific to service delivery in a rural area such as East Devon, and to try to ensure a fair settlement for the District. The Council had consistently lobbied for several years to improve its financial settlement. He advised that rural authorities, with strong representation from East Devon, worked together in a group called SPARSE, a subgroup of the Local Government Association. The SPARSE role within the LGA was to ensure that implications for rural areas of government policy were fully appreciated by policy makers. He advised that SPARSE Rural often worked with the parliamentary Rural Fair Share Group which aimed to reduce the rural penalty. A key issue was that rural residents pay more in tax, receive fewer services and, on average, earn less than those in urban areas. The solution was that in times of reducing public expenditure, it was more important to distribute available resources fairly. Sustained lobbying from the Rural Fair Share Campaign contributed toward the Rural Services Delivery Grant which already helped East Devon and Devon County Councils. This grant was now included in the Council's projected budget settlement through to 2019/2020.

The need for a fairer settlement was echoed by Councillors during discussion.

The amended proposal was put to the vote and carried and the consequential vote on the motion was unanimously in favour.

RESOLVED: that the Council is concerned that successive governments have made financial settlements for local authorities in Devon which are disadvantageous compared with the settlements for councils elsewhere. The Council therefore supports the important role being played by its own Officers and Members with SPARSE, in association with the Rural Fair Share Campaign and recognises their success in securing the payment of the Rural Services Delivery Grant to East Devon in the current funding round, and future awards to 2019/2020.

*70 **Compulsory Purchase Order – land at Branscombe**

The report to Council required confirmation of making a Compulsory Purchase Order in the parish of Branscombe. This was in respect of exchanging inalienable land in the ownership of the National Trust with land owned by the Diocese of Exeter at the request of the Parish Council, to provide an extension to the St Winifred's graveyard.

RESOLVED:

That Council having been consulted recommends to Cabinet the confirmation of the Compulsory Purchase Order as set out in the report.

Attendance list

Councillors present:

Stuart Hughes (Chairman) Helen Parr (Vice Chairman)

Mike Allen Megan Armstrong Brian Bailey **David Barratt** Dean Barrow Matthew Booth Susie Bond Peter Bowden Colin Brown Peter Burrows Paul Carter David Chapman Maddy Chapman Iain Chubb Alan Dent John Dyson Jill Elson Peter Faithfull David Foster

Cathy Gardner Steve Gazzard **Roger Giles** Graham Godbeer Pat Graham Steve Hall Marcus Hartnell Douglas Hull Ben Ingham Geoff Jung David Key Jim Knight Rob Longhurst Andrew Moulding Bill Nash Geoff Pook Val Ranger Marianne Rixson Philip Skinner Pauline Stott Brenda Taylor Ian Thomas Phil Twiss Mark Williamson Eileen Wragg Tom Wright

Honorary Aldermen:

David Cox Christine Drew Frances Newth Tim Wood

Officers:

Richard Cohen, Deputy Chief Executive Simon Davey, Strategic Lead - Finance Andrew Ennis, Service Lead – Environmental Health and Car Parks John Golding, Strategic Lead – Housing, Health and Environment Henry Gordon Lennox, Strategic Lead – Legal and Democratic Services Alison Hayward, Regeneration & Economic Development Mark Williams, Chief Executive Hannah Whitfield, Democratic Services Manager

Councillor apologies:

Paul Diviani Alison Greenhalgh Simon Grundy Maria Hale Ian Hall John Humphreys Mike Howe Rob Longhurst Dawn Manley Cherry Nicholas John O'Leary Chris Pepper

Honorary Aldermen apologies:

Trevor Cope Ann Liverton Graham Liverton Ken Potter

Chairman	Date
----------	------

Parish	Parish Tax Precept Base		Basic Parish Tax	Basic Tax Parish + EDDC	Basic Tax + DCC + Fire Authority +			
					Police & Crime Comm D			
	£		£	£	£			
All Saints	9,295.00	249	37.33	164.11	1,624.55			
Awliscombe	3,914.00	231	16.94	143.72	1,604.16			
Axminster	147,367.00	2536	58.11	184.89	1,645.33			
Axmouth	11,361.00	244	46.56	173.34	1,633.78			
Aylesbeare	6,814.00	261	26.11	152.89	1,613.33			
Beer	16,354.00	667	24.52	151.30	1,611.74			
			44.16	170.94	· ·			
Bishops Clyst	22,740.00	515		-	1,631.3			
Brampford Speke	6,291.00	154	40.85	167.63	1,628.0			
Branscombe	7,500.00	334	22.46	149.24	1,609.6			
Broadclyst	199,698.00	1344	148 58	275.36	1,735.8			
Broadhembury	7,731.00	305	25.35	152.13	1,612.5			
Buckerell	3,075.00	109	28.21	154,99	1,615.4			
Budleigh Salterton	101,533.00	2739	37.07	163.85	1,624.2			
Chardstock	5,656.00	404	14.00	140.78	1,601.2			
Clyst Honiton	6,020.00	104	57.88	184.66	1,645.10			
Clyst Hydon	2,298.00	121	18.99	145.77	1,606.2			
Clyst St George	6,054.00	361	16.77	143.55	1,603.9			
	•							
Clyst St Lawrence	6 05 4 00	44	0.00	126.78	1,587.2			
Colaton Raleigh	6,954.00	300	23.18	149.96	1,610.4			
Colyton	48,275.24	1410	34.24	161.02	1,621.4			
Combe Raleigh	1,160.00	96	12.08	138.86	1,599.3			
Combpyne-Rousdon	3,550.00	201	17.66	144.44	1,604.8			
Cotleigh	3,549.00	104	34.13	160.91	1,621.3			
Cranbrook	100,000.00	1128	88.65	215.43	1,675.8			
Dalwood	6,139.00	209	29.37	156.15	1,616.5			
Dunkeswell	25,473.00	576	44.22	171.00	1,631.4			
	·	503	44.22					
East Budleigh with Bicton	21,504.68			169.53	1,629.9			
Exmouth	545,908.00	12378	44.10	170.88	1,631.3			
Farringdon	3,575.00	149	23.99	150.77	1,611.2			
Farway	1,957.00	128	15.29	142.07	1,602.5			
Feniton	16,774.50	655	25.61	152.39	1,612.8			
Gittisham	7,630.00	238	32.06	158.84	1,619.2			
Hawkchurch	6,921.00	258	26.83	153.61	1,614.0			
Honiton	236.408.00	3786	62.44	189.22	1,649.6			
Huxham		41	0.00	126.78	1,587.2			
Kilmington	10,584.00	392	27.00	153.78	1,614.2			
Luppitt	10,685.00	207	51.62	178.40	1,638.8			
	42,000.00	826	50.85	177.63	1,638.0			
Lympstone								
Membury	6,911.00	266	25.98	152.76	1,613.2			
Monkton	5,500.00	76	72.37	199.15	1,659.5			
Musbury	5,229.00	236	22.16	148.94	1,609.3			
Netherexe	-	26	0.00	126.78	1,587.2			
Newton Poppleford	37,500.00	888	42.23	169.01	1,629.4			
Northleigh	1,779.00	82	21.70	148.48	1,608.9			
Offwell	2,724.00	183	14.89	141.67	1,602.1			
Otterton	10,100.00	296	34.12	160.90	1,621.3			
Ottery St Mary	165,651.00	3626	45.68	172.46	1,632.9			
Payhembury	5,665.00	289	19.60	146.38	1,606.8			
Plymtree	4,050.00	265	15.00	140.58	1,603.2			
		129		142.85				
Poltimore	5,710.00		44.26		1,631.4			
Rewe	8,892.00	186	47.81	174.59	1,635.0			
Rockbeare	20,300.00	337	60.24	187.02	1,647.4			
Seaton	229,841.00	3031	75.83	202.61	1,663.0			
Sheidon	500.00	84	5.95	132.73	1,593.1			
Shute	4,679.00	289	16.19	142.97	1,603.4			
Sidmouth	419,135.00	6922	60.55	187.33	1,647.7			
Southleigh	1,940.00	105	18.30	145.08	1,605.5			
Stockland	5,891.00	320	18.41	145.19	1,605.6			
Stoke Canon	7,800.00	235	33.19	159.97	1,620.4			
Talaton	8,564.56	235	34.82	151.60				
					1,622.0			
Uplyme	20,239.97	858	23.59	150.37	1,610.8			
Upottery	12,656.00	317	39,92	166.70	1,627.14			
Upton Pyne	6,328.69	219	28,90	155.68	1,616.13			
Whimple	12,326.00	716	17,22	144.00	1,604.44			
Widworthy	2,129.00	137	15.54	142.32	1,602.76			
Woodbury	59,415.00	1377	43.15	169.93	1,630.3			
Yarcombe	5,200.00	218	23.85	150.63	1,611.0			
T ST GWYTTM'S	2,739,404.64	210	23.03	10.03	1,011.0.			

Parish	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
				·				
	£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
All Saints	109.41	127.64	145.87	164.11	200.58	237.05	273.52	328.2
wliscombe	95.81	111.79	127.75	143.72	175.65	207.60	239.53	287.4
Axminster	123.26	143.81	164.34	184.89	225.97	267.07	308.15	369.
Axmouth Aylesbeare	115.56	134.82	154.08	173.34	211.86	250.38	288.90	346.0
leer	101.93 100.87	118.92 117.68	135.90 134.49	152.89 151.30	186.86 184.92	220.84 218.55	254.82 252.17	305. 302.
Bishops Clyst	113.96	132.96	151.94	170.94	208.92	246.92	284.90	341.
Brampford Speke	111.75	130.38	149.00	167.63	204.88	242.14	279.38	335.
Branscombe	99.49	116.08	132.65	149.24	182.40	215.57	248.73	298.
Broadclyst	183.57	214.17	244.76	275.36	336.55	397.75	458.93	550.
Broadhembury	101.42	118.33	135.22	152.13	185.93	219.75	253.55	304.3
Buckerell	103,33	120.55	137.77	154.99	189.43	223.88	258.32	309,
Budleigh Salterton	109.23	127.44	145.64	163.85	200.26	236.68	273.08	327.
Chardstock	93.85	109.50	125.13	140.78	172.06	203.35	234.63	281.5
lyst Honiton	123.11	143.63	164.14	184.66	225.69	265.73	307.77	369.3
Byst Hydon	97.18	113.38	129.57	145.77	178.16	210.56	242.95	291.
llyst St George	95.70	111.65	127.60	143.55	175.45	207.35	239.25	287.
Iyst St Lawrence Colaton Raleigh	84.52 99.97	98.61 116.64	112.69 133.29	126.78 149.96	154.95 183.28	183.13 216.61	211.30 249.93	253 . 299 .
Colyton	107.35	125.24	143.13	161.02	196.80	232.59	268.37	322.0
Combe Raleigh	92.57	108.01	123.43	138.86	169.71	200.58	231.43	277.
Combpyne-Rousdon	96.29	112.35	128.39	144.44	176.53	208.64	240.73	288.
Cotleigh	107.27	125.16	143.03	160.91	196.66	232.43	268.18	321.4
Cranbrook	143.62	167.56	191.49	215.43	263.30	311.18	359.05	430.1
Dalwood	104.10	121.45	138.80	156.15	190.85	225.55	260.25	312,3
Junkeswell	114.00	133.00	152.00	171.00	209.00	247.00	285.00	342.0
ast Budleigh with Bicton	113.02	131.86	150.69	169.53	207.20	244.88	282.55	339.0
xmouth	113.92	132.91	151.89	170.88	208.85	246.83	284.80	341,
arringdon	100.51	117.27	134.01	150.77	184.27	217.78	251.28	301.
arway	94.71	110.50	126.28	142.07	173.64	205.22	236.78	284.:
eniton	101.59	118.53	135.45	152.39	186.25	220.12	253.98	304.7
Sittisham Iawkchurch	105.89 102.41	123.55 119.48	141.19 136.54	158.84	194.13 187.74	229.44	264.73	317.0
loniton	102.41	147.17	158.19	153.61 189.22	231.27	221.88 273.32	256.02 315.37	307.3 378.4
luxham	84.52	98.61	112.69	126.78	154.95	183.13	211.30	253.5
limington	102.52	119.61	136.69	153.78	187.95	222.13	256.30	307.9
uppitt	118.93	138.76	158.57	178.40	218.04	257.69	297,33	356.8
ympstone	118.42	138.16	157.89	177.63	217.10	256.58	296.05	355.2
Aembury	101.84	118.82	135.78	152.76	186.70	220.66	254.60	305.5
Aonkton	132.77	154.90	177.02	199.15	243.40	287.66	331.92	398.3
Ausbury	99.29	115.85	132.39	148.94	182.03	215.14	248.23	297.8
letherexe	84.52	98.61	112.69	126.78	154.95	183.13	211.30	253.5
lewton Poppleford	112.67	131.46	150.23	169.01	206.56	244.13	281.68	338.0
lorthleigh	98.99	115.49	131.98	148.48	181.47	214.47	247.47	296.9
)ffwell)tterton	94.45	110.19	125.93	141.67	173.15	204.64	236.12	283.3
	107.27 114.97	125.15 134.14	143.02	160.90	196.65	232.41	268.17	321.8
ittery St Mary ayhembury	97.59	134.14	153.29 130.11	172.46 146.38	210.78 178.91	249.11 211.44	287.43 243.97	344.9 292.7
lymtree	95.23	111.11	126.97	140.38	174.59	206.34	238.08	285.7
oltimore	114.03	133.03	152.03	171.04	209.05	200.34	238.08	342.0
ewe	116.39	135.80	155.19	174.59	213.38	252.19	290.98	349.3
ockbeare	124.68	145.46	166.24	187.02	228.58	270.14	311.70	374.(
eaton	135.07	157.59	180.09	202.61	247.63	292.66	337.68	405.2
neldon	88.49	103.24	117.98	132.73	162.22	191.72	221.22	265.4
nute	95.31	111,20	127.08	142.97	174.74	206.52	238.28	285.9
dmouth	124.8 9	145.70	166.51	187.33	228.96	270.59	312.22	374.6
outhleigh	96.72	112.84	128.96	145.08	177.32	209.56	241.80	290,1
tockland	96.79	112.93	129.05	145.19	177.45	209.72	241.98	290.3
toke Canon	106.65	124.42	142.19	159.97	195.52	231,07	266.62	319.9
alaton	107.73	125.69	143.64	161.60	197.51	233.43	269.33	323.2
plyme	100.25	116.96	133.66	150.37	183.78	217.20	250.62	300.7
pottery nton Bung	111.13	129.66	148.17	166.70	203.74	240.79	277.83	333.4
pton Pyne /bimple	103.79	121.09	138.38	155.68	190.27	224.87	259.47	311.3
/himple /idworthy	96.00	112.00	128.00	144.00	176.00	208.00	240.00	288.0
/idworthy /oodbury	94.88 113.29	110.70 132.17	126.50 151.05	142.32 169.93	173.94 207.6 9	205.58	237.20	284.6
rooduury	113.73	132.17	TOT'02	103.32	207.09	245.46	283.22	339.8

		FOR DEVON & C	ORNWALL and DEV	ON & SOMERSET FI	RE & RESCUE AUTHO	RITY		
Parish	Band A	Band B	Band C	Band D	Band E	Band F	Band G	Band H
	£	£	£	<u>£</u>	£	£	<u>E</u>	£
All Saints	1,083.04	1,263.54	1,444.04	1,624.55	1,985.56	2,346.58	2,707.59	3,249.10
Awliscombe	1,069.44	1,247.69	1,425.92	1,604 16	1,960.63	2,317.13	2,673.60	3,208.32
Axminster	1,096.89	1,279.71	1,462.51	1,645,33	2,010.95	2,376.60	2,742.22	3,290.66
Axmouth Aylesbeare	1,089.19 1,075.56	1,270.72	1,452.25	1,633.78	1,996.84	2,359.91	2,722.97	3,267.56
Beer	1,075.56	1,254.82 1,253.58	1,434.07 1,432.66	1,613-33 1,611.74	1,971.84 1,969.90	2,330.37 2,328.08	2,688.89 2,686.24	3,226.66
Bishops Clyst	1,074.50	1,268.86	1,450.11	1,631.38	1,993.90	2,328.08	2,000.24	3,223.48 3,262.76
Brampford Speke	1,085.38	1,266.28	1,447.17	1,628.07	1,989.86	2,351.67	2,713.45	3,256.14
Branscombe	1,073.12	1,251.98	1,430.82	1,609.68	1,967.38	2,325.10	2,682.80	3,219.36
Broadclyst	1,157.20	1,350.07	1,542.93	1,735.80	2,121.53	2,507.28	2,893.00	3,471.60
Broadhembury	1,075.05	1,254.23	1,433.39	1,612.57	1,970.91	2,329.28	2,687.62	3,225.14
Buckerell	1,076.96	1,256.45	1,435.94	1,615.43	1,974.41	2,333.41	2,692.39	3,230.86
Budleigh Salterton	1,082.86	1,263.34	1,443.81	1,624.29	1,985.24	2,346.21	2,707.15	3,248.58
Chardstock	1,067.48	1,245.40	1,423.30	1,601.22	1,957.04	2,312.88	2,668.70	3,202.44
Clyst Honiton	1,096.74	1,279.53	1,462.31	1,645.10	2,010.67	2,376.26	2,741.84	3,290.20
Clyst Hydon	1,070.81	1,249.28	1,427.74	1,606.21	1,963.14	2,320.09	2,677.02	3,212.42
Clyst St George	1,069.33	1,247.55	1,425.77	1,603.99	1,960.43	2,316.88	2,673.32	3,207.98
Clyst St Lawrence Colaton Raleigh	1,058.15 1,073.60	1,234.51 1,252.54	1,410.86	1,587.22	1,939.93	2,292.66	2,645.37	3,174.44
Colyton	1,073.50	1,252.54 1,261.14	1,431.46 1,441.30	1,610.40 1,621.46	1,968.26 1,981.78	2,326.14 2,342.12	2,684.00 2,702.44	3,220.80 3,242.92
Combe Raleigh	1,066.20	1,243.91	1,441.50	1,599.30	1,954.69	2,342.12	2,702.44 2,665.50	3,242.92 3,198.60
Combovne-Rousdon	1,069.92	1,248.25	1,426.56	1,604.88	1,961.51	2,318.17	2,674.80	3,209.76
Cotleigh	1,080.90	1,261.06	1,441.20	1,621.35	1,981.64	2,341.96	2,702.25	3,242.70
Cranbrool	1,117.25	1,303.46	1,489.66	1,675.87	2,048 28	2,420.71	2,793.12	3,351.74
Dalwood	1,077.73	1,257.35	1,436.97	1,616.59	1,975.83	2,335.08	2,694.32	3,233.18
Dunkeswell	1,087.63	1,268.90	1,450.17	1,631.44	1,993 98	2,356.53	2,719.07	3,262.88
ast Budleigh with Bicton	1,086.65	1,267.76	1,448.86	1,629.97	1,992.18	2,354.41	2,716.62	3,259.94
Exmouth	1,087.55	1,268.81	1,450.06	1,631.32	1,993.83	2,356.36	2,718.87	3,262.64
Farringdon	1,074.14	1,253.17	1,432.18	1,611.21	1,969.25	2,327.31	2,685.35	3,222.42
arway	1,068.34	1,246.40	1,424.45	1,602.51	1,958.62	2,314.75	2,670.85	3,205.02
Feniton	1,075.22	1,254.43	1,433.62	1,612.83	1,971.23	2,329.65	2,688.05	3,225.66
Sittisham	1,079.52	1,259.45	1,439.36	1,619.28	1,979.11	2,338.97	2,698.80	3,238.56
Hawkchurch	1,076.04	1,255.38	1,434.71	1,614.05	1,972.72	2,331.41	2,690.09	3,228.10
Honiton	1,099.78	1,283.07	1,466.36	1,649.66	2,016.25	2,382.85	2,749.44	3,299.32
Huxham	1,058.15	1,234.51	1,410.86	1,587.22	1,939.93	2,292.66	2,645.37	3,174.44
Kilmington	1,076.15	1,255.51	1,434.86	1,614.22	1,972.93	2,331.66	2,690.37	3,228.44
Luppitt	1,092.56 1,092.05	1,274.66	1,456.74	1,638.84	2,003.02	2,367.22	2,731.40	3,277.68
Lympstone Membury	1,092.05	1,274.06 1,254.72	1,456.06	1,638.07	2,002.08	2,366.11	2,730.12	3,276.14
Vienibury	1,106.40	1,290.80	1,433.95 1,475.19	1,613.20 1,659.59	1,971.68 2,028.38	2,330.19 2,397.19	2,688.67	3,226.40
Musbury	1,072.92	1,251.75	1,430.56	1,609.38	1,967.01	2,324.67	2,765.99 2,682.30	3,319.18 3,218.76
Vetherexe	1,058.15	1,234.51	1,410.86	1,587.22	1,939 93	2,292.66	2,645.37	3,174.44
lewton Poppleford	1,086.30	1,267.36	1,448.40	1,629.45	1,991.54	2,353.66	2,715.75	3,258.90
lorthleigh	1,072.62	1,251.39	1,430.15	1,608.92	1,966.45	2,324.00	2,681.54	3,217.84
Offweil	1,068.08	1,246.09	1,424.10	1,602.11	1,958.13	2,314.17	2,670.19	3,204.22
Otterton	1,080.90	1,261.05	1,441.19	1,621.34	1,981.63	2,341.94	2,702.24	3,242.68
Ittery St Mary	1,088.60	1,270.04	1,451.46	1,632.90	1,995.76	2,358.64	2,721.50	3,265.80
ayhembury	1,071.22	1,249.75	1,428.28	1,605.82	1,963.89	2,320.97	2,678.04	3,213.64
lymtree	1,068.86	1,247.01	1,425.14	1,603.29	1,959.57	2,315.87	2,672.15	3,206.58
oltimore	1,087.66	1,268.93	1,450.20	1,631.48	1,994.03	2,356.59	2,719.14	3,262.96
lewe	1,090.02	1,271.70	1,453.36	1,635.03	1,998.36	2,361.72	2,725.05	3,270.06
ockbeare	1,098.31	1,281.36	1,464.41	1,647.46	2,013.56	2,379.67	2,745.77	3,294.92
eaton	1,108.70	1,293.49	1,478.26	1,663.05	2,032 61	2,402.19	2,771.75	3,326,10
heldon	1,062.12	1,239.14	1,416.15	1,593.17	1,947.20	2,301.25	2,655.29	3,186.34
hute	1,068.94	1,247.10	1,425.25	1,603.41	1,959.72	2,316.05	2,672.35	3,206.82
idmouth	1,098.52	1,281.60	1,464.68	1,647.77	2,013.94	2,380.12	2,746.29	3,295,54
outhleigh	1,070.35	1,248.74	1,427.13	1,605.52	1,962,30	2,319.09	2,675.87	3,211.04
tockland toke Canon	1,070.42 1,080.28	1,248.83	1,427.22	1,605.63	1,962.43	2,319.25	2,676.05	3,211.26
alaton	1,080.28	1,260.32 1,261.59	1,440.36 1,441.81	1,620.41 1,622.04	1,980.50 1,982.49	2,340.60 2,342.96	2,700.69	3,240.82 3,244.08
lplyme	1,073.88	1,252.86	1,431.83	1,610.81	1,982.49	2,342.96	2,703.40 2,684.69	3,244.08 3,221.62
pottery	1,084.76	1,265.56	1,446.34	1,627.14	1,988.70	2,320.73	2,684 69	3,221.62
pton Pyne	1,077.42	1,256.99	1,436.55	1,616.12	1,975.25	2,334.40	2,693.54	3,234.28
Vhimple	1,069.63	1,247.90	1,436.33	1,604.44	1,960.98	2,334.40	2,674.07	3,232.24
Vidworthy	1,068.51	1,247.50	1,424.67	1,602.76	1,958.92	2,317.55	2,671.27	3,205.52
Voodbury	1,086.92	1,268.07	1,449.22	1,630.37	1,992.67	2,354.99	2,717.29	3,260.74
arcombe	1,074.05	1,253.06	1,432.06	1,611.07	1,969.08	2,327.11	2,685.12	3,222.14
	100 C 11 C 40		A1-98-99	A, 0 A A 10 F	A)000 00		2,00,12	J, 444 14